

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action dated May 25, 2006, the Examiner indicated that claim 50 was allowed. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowance of claim 50.

Claims 42-49 and 51-64 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,366,099 (Schmid '099). In light of the amendments presented herein, Applicant respectfully disagrees that the invention, as presently claimed, is anticipated by Schmid.

As illustrated in Figs. 1 and 3, Schmid does not teach or disclose a front edge spaced apart from a back edge of the base and including an assembly movably coupled to the first base that includes a member movable along the length of the first base and configured to extend longitudinally beyond the back edge of the base.

Specifically, Schmid does not contain such a movable member. Indeed, the Schmid assembly (defined by the Examiner as 30, 28, 27, 26 and the unnumbered portion shown in Fig. 3 which surrounds elements 42, 44 and 32A and which includes element 14) may include a movable member 26, but member 26 is not configured to extend longitudinally beyond the back edge of the base. Clearly, the member 26 is prevented from extending longitudinally beyond the back edge of the base by the posts 24. As described in Col. 3, lines 18-22, it is simply not taught, suggested or contemplated that the member 26 would extend beyond the back of the base to provide a third space for containing articles.

Further, the member (defined by the Examiner as the unnumbered portion shown in Fig. 3 which surrounds elements 42, 44 and 32A and which includes element 14) is not movable along the length of the base. First, rear end member 14 is stationary and cannot move along the length of the base. Also, the unnumbered portion shown in Fig. 3 which surrounds elements 42,

44 and 32A cannot move along the length of the base. Accordingly, Schmid does not include each and every element recited in Claim 42, and therefore does not anticipate Claim 42.

Moreover, because Claims 43 through 49 and Claims 51 through 64 depend from Claim 42, and contain each and every element recited therein, Claims 43 through 49 and Claims 51 through 64 are also not anticipated by Schmid.

CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks presented herein, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 42 through 49, and 51 through 64 are in condition for allowance and reconsideration of same and notice of allowance of the claims is respectfully requested. Applicant submits that no new matter has been added to the application.

Applicant requests that the Examiner telephone the undersigned in the event a telephone discussion would be helpful in advancing the prosecution of the present case. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or underpayment of fees regarding this response, including extensions for reply, to Deposit Account 07-1509.

Respectfully submitted,

DCI MARKETING, INC.

Dated: 08/25/2006

By: Antonia M. Holland
Antonia M. Holland
Registration No. 53,840

Attorney of Record for Applicant
GODFREY & KAHN, S.C.
780 North Water Street
Milwaukee, WI 53202-3590
Telephone: 414-273-3500
Facsimile: 414-273-5198

mw1189642_1